

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/803,716	GUPTA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jordan M. Schwartz	2873

All Participants:

(1) Jordan M. Schwartz.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Frank Occhiuti.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 May 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3-4, 8-12

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The changes to claims 3-4 as set forth in the examiner's amendment were discussed and agreed upon to provide additional clarity to applicant's intended meaning. The changes to claims 8-10, set forth in the examiner's amendment were discussed and agreed upon to correct apparent inadvertent spelling errors and to have claim 10 end in a period in compliance with the MPEP. It was also agreed to cancel non-elected claims 11-12.